

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,420	09/884,420 06/20/2001		Detlev Glittenberg	7393/71602	9919
22242	7590	07/14/2003			
		N AND FLANNI	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
120 SOUTH SUITE 1600			BRUNSMAN, DAVID M		
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1755	80
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	\sim

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>†</i>				A2-28
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summany	09/884,420	GLITTENBERG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		David M Brunsman	1755	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	-
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failurian - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the properties of the pr	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Modele, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	June 2003 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims			ts is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-12 is/are pending in the a	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4, 9-12 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			:
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• , ,		
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	
14) [A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	cation).
a	a) The translation of the foreign language process Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has	been received.	
Attachmen			- -	
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
C. Datast and T				

/,

Application/Control Number: 09/884,420

Art Unit: 1755

.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6413372. The effective filing date of the material of example 1 being 20 April 1999.

The reference teaches a composition for papermaking, see Table 1, comprising a combination of cationic waxy maize starch and anionic waxy maize starch in powder form, which is then dispersed in water. The low zeta potential shown (e.g. +1.1mV) indicates a slight anionic demand of less than 100 ueq/L. This invention is anticipated by claims 1, 2, and 14 of the patent. The zeta potential explicitly disclosed of +20 to +1 mV indicates a slight anionic demand of less than 100 ueg/L.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6413372, as applied above in view of WO 99/64677.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,420

Art Unit: 1755

The difference between claim 3 and the prior art cited is the starch derivative used as the anionic starch. WO 99/64677 teaches that starch phosphate and maleic or succinic anhydride modified starches function equivalently in papermaking compositions. See page 7, lines 28-32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the maleic or succinic anhydride modified starches for the starch phosphate of the primary reference because they are shown to be art-recognized equivalents.

An affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 is ineffective to overcome a rejection over a non-commonly owned US Patent claiming the same patentable invention. Applicant remedy must be under 1.608(b). 1.601(n) sets forth the definition of "same patentable invention" is the same as that with respect to 35 U.S.C. §102 or §103. The "same patentable invention" is not required to be of the same statutory class.

This is a request for continued examination of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/884420. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/884,420

Art Unit: 1755

Page 4

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Brunsman whose telephone number is 703-308-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

DMB July 12, 2003 Man